(Proceedings heard in open court:)

THE CLERK: 18 CR 450, USA versus Walsh.

MR. KERWIN: Good afternoon, your Honor. Brian Kerwin and Katie Durick on behalf of the United States.

MR. BOYLE: Good afternoon, Judge. For the record, Patrick Boyle on behalf of Mr. David Walsh, who stands before you in custody.

THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon. We're here for a Faretta hearing. I -- there are a couple of preliminary matters I'd like to address.

One of them is the defendant's *pro se* motion to withdraw counsel, which is docket 64. And I'm going to construe this motion as a motion to allow Mr. Walsh to get a new appointed attorney on the ground that his current attorney is -- on the ground that Mr. Walsh's dissatisfaction with his current attorney is justified.

And as I said, you get one free bite in my courtroom if you're a criminal defendant with an appointed lawyer; but after that, I let you switch counsel only if there's a good reason. So, I have to see whether there's a good reason. And the reason that Mr. Walsh gives is that counsel, by not filing any motions, to introduce facts that will more likely than not procure a verdict of not guilty by any reasonable jury.

And this -- Mr. Walsh's motion misunderstands what a motion to dismiss in a criminal case is all about. It's not

to argue the facts and say that the facts don't support a finding of guilt. It's to essentially, and speaking very generally, say that the indictment, that the count -- particular count in the indictment does not on its face state a violation of the cited statute.

And I've reviewed the indictment one more time, and the indictment does properly allege a violation of all four counts. And appointed counsel is -- has appropriately declined to file motions that say, "Well, under the facts, we're going to win this at trial, so you should dismiss the case." That's for trial. That's not for a motion. So, I'm going to deny docket 64.

Mr. Walsh filed a *pro se* motion to dismiss Count 2, which is the 924(c) count. And the basis of the 924(c) count -- or I'm sorry, the basis of the motion is that the 924(c) count should be dismissed because the predicate felony that was allegedly being conducted at the same time as -- that the gun was being used in furtherance of relies on the residual clause of the definition of violent felony. And that's actually not the case.

The predicate felony is bank robbery, and bank robbery fits within the elements provision of the definition of violent felony under 924. And that's at 924(e)(2)(B)(i). And a violent felony falls within the scope of the elements clause if it has an element -- has as an element the use,

attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against the person of another.

And as to whether that actually happened or not, I don't know. It's presumed not to, but that's what the trial is for. But Count 1, which is the predicate of Count 2, which is the 924(c) count, alleges a bank robbery by intimidation; and it says in committing the offense, the defendant assaulted and put in jeopardy the life of a person by the use of a dangerous weapon, namely a firearm.

And that is certainly the use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against the person of another. So, on its face, Count 2 states an allegation that fits within the scope of 924(c); and, therefore, the motion to dismiss that count is denied.

And again, in saying this, I'm not saying that Mr. Walsh actually did --

THE DEFENDANT: I never had a chance to say anything at all.

THE COURT: Hold on one second, Mr. Walsh.

THE DEFENDANT: While you were carrying on with this -- yeah, I'm listening to you.

THE COURT: I'm not saying that Mr. Walsh did what he's accused of doing in Counts 1 and 2. He's presumed not to have done so. But in terms of the motion to dismiss, his argument is not the office of a motion to dismiss. It's the

1 office of trial. So, I'm going to deny the motion to dismiss 2 Count 2, which is docket 67. 3 THE DEFENDANT: Well, I -- you know that Davis 4 just -- the ruling in the United States Supreme Court that 5 knocks the 924(c) statute completely out, right? 6 THE COURT: No. 7 THE DEFENDANT: The residual clause that supports 8 the 924(c) is gone. 9 THE COURT: You're right. But that doesn't help you 10 because you're charged not under the residual clause, but 11 under the elements clause of a definition of --12 THE DEFENDANT: The elements clause is -- listen. 13 The residual clause supports that. 14 THE COURT: Okay. That's not how I read the statute. 15 I read the statute as having an elements clause and a residual 16 Just because you get rid of the residual clause clause. 17 doesn't mean you also --18 THE DEFENDANT: The residual clause has to support 19 the elements. 20 THE COURT: Okay. That's not my reading, but you can 21 bring this on appeal if you would like. 22 THE DEFENDANT: I'm going to do so. 23 THE COURT: Okay. So -- and then finally --24 THE DEFENDANT: That's denied. Okay. I understand 25 that, and that will be appealed.

1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 THE DEFENDANT: That's a fact. So with that --3 THE COURT: So, now we have --4 THE DEFENDANT: -- with that, I've got another motion 5 to file --6 MR. BOYLE: Do you want me to --7 THE DEFENDANT: I'll tell you what. I'm going to 8 let my lawyer go ahead and file this other motion to dismiss 9 Count 3. You harped on the second article in this statute. 10 I've got two, three, I think, there's even four or five ---11 if I'm not mistaken, four or five cases that cover that --12 THE COURT: Okay. And so --13 THE DEFENDANT: -- completely. 14 THE COURT: So, do you want to keep Mr. Boyle as 15 your lawyer, or do you want to represent yourself? 16 THE DEFENDANT: I'm going to keep Mr. Boyle as my 17 lawyer, but we're going to file this motion now. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Well, that --19 THE DEFENDANT: I might have maybe something to say 20 occasionally, and I'll raise my hand or say something like 21 I know he can speak for me, and maybe we'll have a that. 22 better understanding. 23 MR. BOYLE: Your Honor, I thought -- I had met with 24 Mr. Walsh prior to court today. I thought we had a good, 25 fruitful conversation. There was a great deal of

1 foreshadowing as to what has already occurred today --2 THE DEFENDANT: That Count 2 will be definitely 3 appealed. And I don't care what happens. 4 MR. BOYLE: -- in the ruling. 5 But I think what Mr. Walsh has expressed to me is --6 and I tried to explain while I'm certainly sympathetic to his 7 positions, you know, I have ethical obligations as far as what 8 kind of motions I can file; but I'm happy to consult with him 9 and talk to him, and perhaps even, if it's a close call, file 10 them, so that he is satisfied in knowing that we're doing 11 everything we can on his behalf. 12 But certainly, he knows that if he's going to be 13 going to trial, he needs an attorney to represent him at 14 trial, and I think he wants me to do that for him. 15 THE COURT: That's fine. And in terms of filing 16 motions, I know you know this, but just -- you -- as a 17 criminal defense attorney, you have more leeway and running 18 room than any other lawyer that appears in the courtroom, 19 so -- but then again, there are limits to that leeway. 20 MR. BOYLE: Of course. 21 THE COURT: And they're limits that you'll enforce

on yourself, that I will not criticize you ---

THE DEFENDANT: Can I get a written statement of what you just said about that denial?

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: The written statement will be the

1 transcript of today's hearing.2 THE DEFENDANT: Can I

THE DEFENDANT: Can I get that? Are you going to send that to me?

THE COURT: Mr. Boyle, if you would like to order it --

MR. BOYLE: It will be on the docket. I'll print it and either deliver it to you or mail it to you as soon as it's printed.

THE DEFENDANT: Good.

MR. BOYLE: On that note, we've gotten a great deal of discovery, as was anticipated, from the government, including the grand jury transcripts that Mr. Walsh wanted. We had those printed, and I'm going to be giving him copies of that today, obviously, subject to the protective order, so they're essentially for your eyes only.

THE DEFENDANT: Do you want to read this? Read this first. We're going to file this, and we can go on.

THE COURT: Well, we don't know if we're going to file it because that's going to be up to Mr. Boyle because he's your attorney. So, why don't we wait --

THE DEFENDANT: Read it quick.

THE COURT: There's no need to read it before Mr. Boyle has a chance to approve it and file it, in his discretion.

So, I -- we're getting close to trial. I think our

trial is set for February 24th, which is two months from now.

And I've -- I don't run the tightest of ships when it comes
to defendants talking in court.

THE DEFENDANT: That will definitely be appealed.

THE COURT: Mr. Walsh, I don't run the tightest of ships when it comes to defendants talking in court. What that means is that if you want to talk, I'll let you talk, even though you're really not supposed to, and even though in other courtrooms in this building, you wouldn't be talking, and if you kept talking, you'd be in the -- you'd be sent back up to 24.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: I do run a tight ship, though, at trial. So, when we're in front of a jury, there's going to be none of this back-talk, and there's going to be no talking at all from you. You can confer with Mr. Boyle; but when the jury's in the courtroom, you won't be addressing me, and you won't be addressing anybody else.

You can confer with Mr. Boyle at counsel table. That's fine. And if you testify -- and you do not have to, and that will be your choice and your choice alone. If you testify, then of course, you'll answer the questions in front of the jury and in front of the judge. But other than that, there will be no addressing anybody in the courtroom.

Do you understand that?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I understand. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. BOYLE: It's in your own interests. 4 Judge, and I might anticipate a couple of issues. 5 Mr. Walsh -- and as you told him, he certainly can appeal any 6 denial of his pretrial motions; but I think he's envisioning 7 an interlocutory appeal, which I do not believe he's entitled 8 to, and that's probably something we're going to have 9 discussions over. 10 I don't know if the government has a position on 11 that. 12 THE DEFENDANT: Let me get this. I want to go 13 *pro se*, period. You got a little questionnaire thing you've 14 got to do. This is going under appeal. I don't care. The 15 United States Supreme Court has said what they've said. 16 You're overruling them by going around saying something 17 that's not. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can appeal --19 THE DEFENDANT: My interpretation of what I read is 20 that the residual clause supports the other --21 THE COURT: I understand. Well, let's stop talking 22 about the merits because that ship has sailed, at least as far 23 as I'm concerned. 24 THE DEFENDANT: You set it because it's your 25 decision.

1 THE COURT: Well, for now it is. But what Mr. Boyle 2 is saying --3 THE DEFENDANT: I'm going to put it under appeal. 4 THE COURT: If you're convicted of Count 2, you could 5 appeal after the trial. If you're acquitted of Count 2, then 6 there's nothing to appeal, no harm, no foul. 7 THE DEFENDANT: We're going to --8 THE COURT: But what Mr. Boyle is saying is that you 9 can't appeal now before the trial --10 THE DEFENDANT: Well, I'm going to appeal -- I'm 11 going to put -- I'm going to file an injunction to the 12 Appellate Court and stop all proceedings and file my 13 injunction on this -- your denial. That's what I'm going 14 to do. That's going to happen. 15 THE COURT: That's fine. 16 THE DEFENDANT: Because I have to. 17 THE COURT: So -- and I don't know if Mr. Boyle will 18 do that on your behalf. 19 He doesn't need to. I will have it THE DEFENDANT: 20 drawn up, and I'll send it in myself. 21 MR. BOYLE: I'll certainly research the timing issue, 22 Judge. 23 The other issue -- and I know you have made yourself 24 very clear on the record. Again, I'm anticipating again this 25 idea that the fact that something can't be dismissed prior to

trial doesn't mean you're acknowledging you did it, and because you're not filing a motion trying to get it dismissed doesn't mean you're admitting to anything. These are just factual issues that that's what a trial is for, and that's what we'd be fighting at trial. The essential issue --

THE DEFENDANT: I'm attacking the indictment. I'm attacking what it is in the statute. Either time that I've filed something, I've attacked something in the statute, not innocence or guilt, period.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me -- that's fine. And if you want to represent yourself, we'll have a *Faretta* hearing, and I'll make a decision as to whether you're able to represent yourself.

But let's say we have the *Faretta* hearing and I allow you to represent yourself and you file the appeal. I'm not -- I'm not going to postpone the trial, because I think your appeal has a zero percent chance of winning. I'm not going to postpone the trial.

The Seventh Circuit -- again, I'm not infallible, but if I'm a betting man -- and I'm not, but if I were a betting man, I'd bet on an affirmance. I'd bet that your appeal loses.

So then we still have our February 24th trial; and you're representing yourself, and you don't have a lawyer because you wanted to take an appeal that I believe has a

```
1
    zero percent chance of winning.
 2
              So, I want you to think about that --
 3
              THE DEFENDANT: I'm just trying to get a couple --
 4
              MR. BOYLE: Sir, can we just have one moment?
 5
       (Discussion had between the defendant and counsel.)
 6
              THE COURT:
                          Do you want to go over to the side so
 7
    you can talk in private?
              MR. BOYLE: Yeah. These are conversations we've
 8
    already had, but I appreciate that, Judge.
 9
10
              THE COURT: Great minds think alike.
11
              MR. BOYLE: Sorry?
12
              THE COURT: Great minds think alike. I think I said
13
    what you said.
14
       (Discussion had between the defendant and counsel.)
15
              MR. BOYLE:
                          I'm sorry, Judge.
16
              THE COURT: That's all right.
17
              MR. KERWIN: If we could just have two minutes,
18
    Judge.
19
              THE COURT: Of course.
20
       (Discussion between counsel, not within hearing.)
21
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Judge --
22
              THE COURT: Actually, we can't talk because the
23
    prosecutor's out of the room, so you and I can't have a
24
    discussion; but once they come back in --
25
              THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I -- he's read this, so it
```

1 will be filed. 2 MR. BOYLE: Thank you, Judge. I've spoken to 3 Mr. Walsh. I have reviewed this anticipated motion, motion 4 to dismiss Count 3, which you might recall is the attempt 5 count. I have read it. I think I can file this. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MR. BOYLE: Maybe as soon as Monday or so. And we'll 8 go forward. 9 I think pretrial motions are due in about 17 days. 10 I'm happy to file whatever pretrial motions are appropriate 11 that Mr. Walsh wants to get filed. 12 So, I think that's where we're at. I mean, I think, 13 again, he acknowledges if this matter goes to trial at some 14 point, he needs an attorney. 15 THE COURT: Okay. And that's a good decision on 16 Mr. Walsh's part. 17 So, right now, we have our final pretrial conference 18 set for --19 MR. KERWIN: February 11th. 20

THE COURT: Yes. And that will be our next date on the calendar; but if there is a motion that requires my attention before then, you can notice it up.

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Let's file it right now, and it's done. We've got -- the motion's done.

THE COURT: Right. And I'm not going to be here for

1 two weeks, so there's no rush in filing it. So, I'm not going 2 to take action on it until January 6th. So, it probably makes 3 sense to give -- let Mr. Boyle take a look at it and maybe --4 File it on the docket electronically. MR. BOYLE: 5 THE COURT: Right, file it electronically. And if he 6 wants to adjust it, that's within the scope of his discretion 7 as an attorney. 8 So, I take it that, Mr. Walsh, you'd like to stick 9 with Mr. Boyle, is that correct? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I'm going to do that. 11 THE COURT: Okay. That's a very wise choice. It is 12 your choice, but I believe it's a very wise choice. 13 So, I'm going to deny as moot the government's motion 14 regarding the colloguy, and that's docket 65. 15 THE DEFENDANT: What is he mooting? 16 MR. BOYLE: The need for the kind of fitness per se 17 hearing. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Anything further? 19 MR. KERWIN: Nothing from the government, Judge. 20 MR. BOYLE: Judge, I am asking that -- I removed all 21 the staples, just that this printed material be provided to 22 Mr. Walsh so he can review it. 23 THE COURT: Let's make sure the Marshals are okay 24 with it; and if they're okay with it, I'm okay with it. 25 MR. BOYLE: Thank you, Judge.

1	MR. KERWIN: Thank you, your Honor.
2	MR. BOYLE: Happy holidays.
3	THE COURT: You, too.
4	(Which were all the proceedings heard.)
5	CERTIFICATE
6	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
7	the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
8	
9	/s/Charles R. Zandi April 12, 2021
10	Charles R. Zandi Date Official Court Reporter
11	Official Court Reporter
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	